

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,158	06/29/2001	Greg Dudoff	4024-4021	1472
75	590 11/13/2002			
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
345 Park Avenue New York, NY 10154-0053			WILLE, DOUGLAS A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2814		
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summary		09/897,158	DUDOFF ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Douglas A Wille	2814			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S	September 2002 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 and 19 is/are pending in the appli	cation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-8,12-17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,5 and 9-11</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 June 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) 🗌 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All 'b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

"Application/Control Number: 09/897,158

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Content of Specification

<u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11. The specification at page 12, line 18 references a concurrent Application but the identification of the application is not provided.

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to by the Examiner.
- 2. Figures 1 7, 12, 13, 15, 16A-D 17 19, 20A,B, 22 and 23 are each composed of multiple Figures which must each be separately identified. In addition, some of the lettering in Figure 16B is partially obscured. In Figure 16A the attach carrier Figure shows the emitters as being buried in the layer. If it is intended that this is the case then it is not supported by the specification. If this is not the case then the Figure needs to be corrected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 6-8, 12-16, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cunningham et al.
- With respect to claims 1, 12, 13, 17 and 19, Cunningham et al. shows a hybrid chip (see cover Figure and column 1, line19 et seq.) with a substrate 148, 142, 138, 134 with a first side (top) with active device contacts 146 on the first side with an electronic chip 116 and the

'Application/Control Number: 09/897,158 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

contacts 146 are not aligned with chip contacts and vias which have sidewalls (not separately numbered) have conductive fillings which are metal (column 1, line 52) and the points are connected to the Si chip through multiple levels.

- 6. With respect to claims 2, 14 and 15, the vias are holes in the insulators and are made conductive with a metal filling.
- 7. With respect to claims 6, 7 and 8, the traces 157, 158, 140, 144 and 136 are patterned on both the chips.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cunningham et al.
- 10. Cunningham et al. show the vias are filled with metal but the method of providing the filling is not specified but it would be obvious to provide the filling by deposition since deposition is the only known way to fill small vias.

Claims Objected to

11. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims, have been considered but are moot in 12. view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas A Wille whose telephone number is (703) 308-4949. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:15-3:45).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

reges S. Wille

Patent Examiner

November 7, 2002